DOI: 10.1002/chem.200701369

Structural Paradigms in Macropolyhedral Boranes

Farooq Ahmad Kiani and Matthias Hofmann^{*[a]}

Abstract: Macropolyhedral borane clusters are concave polyhedra constituting fused convex simple polyhedra. They are formally obtained by condensation of simple polyhedral boranes under elimination of between one and four BH₃ or isoelectronic units. The number of eliminated vertexes from simple polyhedra equals the number of shared vertexes in macropolyhedral boranes. For each of the eight classes with general formulae ranging from B_nH_{n-4} to B_nH_{n+10} , more than one structure type is possible, differing in the number of shared vertexes and in the types of the two combined cluster

Introduction

For a long time chemists have used generalized rules that relate the number of electrons^[1] to the structures and properties of molecules. The octet rule,^[2] the 18-electron rule,^[3] Hückel's $4n+2$ π -electron rule for planar cyclic aromatic systems^[4] and the $2(N+1)^2$ rule for three-dimensional aromatic systems[5] all make use of numbers of electrons to predict the structure or properties of molecules. Skeletal-electron-counting rules set by Wade^[6] and Mingos^[7] for simple polyhedral boron hydride clusters also relate the number of

[a] Dr. F. A. Kiani, Dr. M. Hofmann Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, 69120 Heidelberg (Germany) Fax: (+49) 6221-548451 E-mail: matthias.hofmann@aci.uni-heidelberg.de

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.chemeurj.org/ or from the author: Cartesian coordinates from the optimized geometries of the thermodynamically most stable clos_0 -[4]- clos_0 -B_nH_{n-4}, clos_0 -[3]- clos_0 -[B_nH_{n-3}]⁻, clos_0 -[3]nido- $[B_nH_{n-1}]^-$, and closo-[2]-nido- $[B_nH_n]^2$ ⁻, NICS values for these, and computed and experimental NMR parameters for $nido(10)$ -[2] $nido(11)$ - $B_{19}H_{22}^-$.

fragments. However, only one type of "potential structures" is represented by experimentally known examples and is found to be favored by theoretical calculations. A sophisticated system exists among the favored macropolyhedral borane structures. For each class of macropolyhedral boranes, the number of skeletal electron pairs is directly related to the general formula, the number of shared vertexes and the

Keywords: boranes · borates · half hardwards: borane isomers. density functional calculations macropolyhedra · thermodynamics

type of fused cluster fragments. In order to predict the distribution of vertexes among the fused fragments, we propose the concept of preferred fragments. Preferred fragments are those usually present in the thermodynamically most stable structure of a given class of macropolyhedral boranes and are also frequently observed in the experimentally known structures. This allows us to completely predict the cluster framework of the thermodynamically most stable macropolyhedral

skeletal electrons to definite cluster shapes. Studies by Williams,^[8] Jemmis and Schleyer,^[9] Ott and Gimarc,^[10] and Kiani and Hofmann, $[11]$ provide further insight into the structural patterns of various simple polyhedral boranes. Clusters composed of fused polyhedra representing a concave curvature have been called macropolyhedra.^[12] Macropolyhedra are realizable only for larger numbers of cluster atoms. A variety of homonuclear as well as heteronuclear boranes with more than one joint cluster unit are experimentally known and exhibit varying architectural patterns, for example, those with cluster units joined by a two-center–two-electron,^[13] or by a three-center–two-electron bond,^[13d,14] as well as those in which cluster units share one vertex, for example, $B_{14}H_{22}$, $^{[15]}$ two vertexes, for example, $B_{18}H_{22}$, $^{[16,17]}$ three vertexes, for example, $B_{20}H_{18}^2$ dianion^[18] or $B_{20}H_{16}L_2$ compounds,^[19] or even four vertexes, for example, $B_{20}H_{16}$.^[20] The underlying principles that govern the stabilities of macropolyhedral boranes are mostly unknown.[21–23] Except for Jemmis' skeletal-electron-counting rule ("mno rule"), [12d, 24] no general theoretical consideration has been given to macropolyhedral boranes. According to the mno rule, the sum of the number of single-cluster fragments (m) , the number of vertexes in the macropolyhedron (n) , the number of single-vertex-sharing junctions (o) , and the number of missing vertexes (p) equals the number of skeletal electron pairs (SEP) of a macropolyhedral borane, that is, $m+n+o+p=$ $n(SEP)$. In order to perform this calculation, the molecular structure of the cluster has to be known. This procedure works as a test for a viable structure. Thus, unlike Wade's skeletal-electron-counting principle^[6] for single clusters, the mno rule^[24] does not specify architectures or cluster shapes based on the given number of skeletal electrons. Therefore, it is not necessarily possible to determine which structure out of a large number of possibilities is the thermodynamically most stable one corresponding to the expected cluster shape.^[25] To give an example, the *mno* rule is in accordance with the three $B_{18}H_{22}^{[16,17]}$ isomers shown in Figure 1. These differ in the number of shared vertexes (i.e., one, two, or three), the types (i.e., closo-, nido-, and arachno-), and the size (i.e., nine, ten, or eleven vertexes) of the fused cluster fragments. In each case, isomers with the same number of shared vertexes but a different distribution of vertexes to the cluster fragments (e.g., nido-9-[2]-nido-11 rather than nido-10-[2]-nido-10 etc.; for nomenclature see below) would also follow the mno rule. However, the mno rule does not indicate which isomer is the thermodynamically most stable one! According to computations,^[26] one-vertex-sharing $\text{closo}(10)$ -[1]-nido(9)-B₁₈H₂₂ (B) and the three-vertex-sharing $arachno(10)$ -[3]-nido(11)-B₁₈H₂₂ (C) are 65.0 and 61.7 kcalmol⁻¹, respectively, less stable than the two-vertexsharing $nido(10)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$ -B₁₈H₂₂ isomer (A). The question arises of how to predict the "best" structure among various possibilities for $B_{18}H_{22}$ and for other macropolyhedral boranes without performing computations. Is there any relationship between the number of skeletal electrons and structures of macropolyhedral boranes akin to simple polyhedral clusters? Moreover, how are different classes of macropolyhedral boranes related to each other structurally as well as in terms of the number of skeletal electrons? Here, on the basis of our systematic survey of various experimentally known macropolyhedral boranes, assisted by suitable computations and our previously published results,[25] we present a generalized scheme that relates the number of skeletal electrons to the general formula, types of fused clusters or

cluster fragments, the fusion modes between two or more individual clusters or cluster fragments, and the distribution of vertexes to the fused cluster fragments and hence, the structure of the thermodynamically most stable isomers for various classes of macropolyhedral boranes.

Results and Discussion

Nomenclature used for macropolyhedral boranes: In this paper, a nomenclature scheme is used for macropolyhedral boranes, according to the type and size of two cluster fragments and the number of shared vertexes. Names of types of each cluster fragment are italicized, with the number of vertexes of each cluster fragment in parenthesis. For two different cluster fragments, the names along with the cluster size are written in alphabetic order, regardless of the cluster size. For a macropolyhedral borane with two cluster fragments of the same type, the smaller cluster is given first. The number of shared vertexes between two cluster fragments is given in brackets between the cluster fragment specification, thus "-[1]-","-[2]-", "-[3]-", and "-[4]-" indicate one, two, three, and four shared vertexes, respectively. The formula for the macropolyhedral boranes is written at the end, separated by a hyphen. As an example, $closo(12)$ -[4]- clos $\text{o}(12)$ - B_{20} H₁₆ denotes the B_{20} H₁₆ structure that consists of two 12-vertex closo-cluster fragments, sharing four vertexes with each other, whereas arachno(8)-[1]-nido(7)-B₁₄H₂₂ has an architecture composed of an arachno-8- and a nido-7 vertex cluster fragment, sharing one vertex.

Various possible combinations of macropolyhedral boranes with different numbers of shared vertexes: All experimentally known macropolyhedral boranes sharing x vertexes can be formally constructed by the intimate fusion of simple polyhedral boranes eliminating a (BH_3) , (or isoelectronic) unit (Scheme 1). The topology of simple convex polyhedral borane clusters allows sharing of one to four vertexes $(x=$ 1–4), when two clusters are fused to become a macropolyhedron. For example, in $nido(6)$ -[2]- $nido(8)$ -B₁₂H₁₆^[27] two ver-

texes are shared between a nido-6-vertex and a nido-8 vertex fragment and, therefore, it is formally obtained by condensation of *nido*- B_6H_{10} and $nido-B_8H_{12}$ under elimination of a B_2H_6 unit. Similarly, each of the experimentally known two-vertex-sharing arachno(9)- $[2]$ -nido(6)-B₁₃H₁₉,^[28] nido(6)-[2]-nido(10)- $B_{14}H_{18}^{[29]}$ nido(8)- $[2]$ -nido(10)-B₁₆H₂₀,^[30] nido(10)-[2]-nido(10)- $B_{18}H_{22}$,^[16]

nido(10)-[2]-nido(11)- $B_{19}H_{22}^{-,[31]}$ and $closo(12)$ -[2]*nido*(12)-[B₂₂H₂₂]²⁻ dianion^[32] may be derived from two cor-Figure 1. Optimized geometries and relative stabilities of various isomeric $B_{18}H_{22}$ structures differing with respect to the degree of vertex sharing. The number of required skeletal electron pairs according to the mno rule (left) equals the number of available skeletal electron pairs (right) for each structure. Notations m, n, and p stand for the number of cluster fragments, the total number of vertexes, and the number of missing vertexes,

respectively (for nomenclature, see text).

Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 2886 – 2893 \odot 2008 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim <www.chemeurj.org> 2887

responding clusters releasing a B_2H_6 unit. Single-vertex-sharing $arachno(8)$ -[1]-nido(7)-B₁₄H₂₂ and $arachno(9)$ -[1] $nido(7)$ -B₁₅H₂₃^[15] are formally obtained by the removal of a single $BH₃$ unit from the corresponding clusters. Similarly, the three-vertex-sharing $\text{clos}_0(12)$ -[3]-nid $\text{d}o(11)$ -B₂₀H₁₈²⁻ dia n ₁₈] can be considered as obtained by the removal of a $[B_3H_8]$ ⁻ unit from a 12-vertex *closo*- $[B_{12}H_{12}]$ ²⁻ and an 11vertex $nido - B_{11}H_{14}$. The unique four-vertex-sharing $\cos\theta(12)$ -[4]-closo(12)-B₂₀H₁₆^[20] is a formal condensation product of two $[B_{12}H_{12}]^{2-}$ units releasing a $[B_4H_8]^{4-}$ unit isoelectronic to B_4H_{12} .

$arachno-B8H14$	$+$ nido-B ₇ H ₁₁		\rightarrow arachno(8)-[1]-nido(7)-B ₁₄ H ₂₂	$+ BH_3$
$arachno-B9H15$	$+$ nido-B ₇ H ₁₁		\longrightarrow arachno(9)-[1]-nido(7)-B ₁₅ H ₂₃	$+$ BH ₃
$nido-B6H10$	$+$ nido- B_8H_{12}		\longrightarrow \mid nido(6)-[2]-nido(8)-B ₁₂ H ₁₆	$+ B_2H_6$
$arachno-B9H15$	$+$ nido- B_6H_{10}		$\longrightarrow arachno(9)$ -[2]-nido(6)-B ₁₃ H ₁₉	$+ B2H6$
$nido-B6H10$	$+$ nido-B ₁₀ H ₁₄		$nido(6)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$ - $B_{14}H_{18}$	$+ B_2H_6$
$nido-B8H12$	$+$ nido- $B_{10}H_{14}$		$nido(8)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$ - $B_{16}H_{20}$	$+$ B ₂ H ₆
$nido-B_{10}H_{14}$	+ $nido-B_{10}H_{14}$		\longrightarrow $nido(10)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$ -B ₁₈ H ₂₂	$+ B2H6$
$nido-B_{10}H_{14}$	+ $nido - [B_{11}H_{14}]$	\longrightarrow	$nido(10)$ -[2]- $nido(11)$ -[B ₁₉ H ₂₂] ⁻	$+ B_2H_6$
$\text{clos}_0 - B_1, H_1 $	$+$ nido-B ₁₂ H ₁₆		\longrightarrow closo(12)-[2]-nido(12)-[B ₂₂ ,H ₂₂] ² ⁻	$+ B2H6$
$\text{closo-}[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^2 + \text{closo-}[\text{B}_{12}\text{H}_{12}]^2$			$\longrightarrow \ncloso(12)$ -[3]- $\ncloso(12)$ -[B ₂₁ H ₁₈] ⁻	+ $[B_3H_6]$
$\text{c} \cdot \text{c} \cdot \text{$			$\longrightarrow \ncloso(12)$ -[3]-nido(11)-[B ₂₀ H ₁₈] ²⁻	$+$ [B ₃ H ₈]]
			$\cos\phi = [B_{12}H_{12}]^2 + \cos\phi = [B_{12}H_{12}]^2$ $\rightarrow \cos\phi = (12) - [4] - \cos\phi = (12) - B_{20}H_{16}$	$+ [B_4H_8]^2$

Scheme 1. Experimentally known homonuclear macropolyhedral boranes and borates can be formally obtained as condensation products of two simple polyhedral fragments eliminating as many $BH₃$ or isoelectronic units as there are vertexes shared (for nomenclature, see text).

All possible macropolyhedral borane types that result from condensation under elimination of one to four $BH₃$ or isoelectronic units (and share one to four vertexes between two clusters) are listed in Scheme 2. It is clear that among experimentally known structures (highlighted by gray boxes), more effective sharing (larger number of shared vertexes) is found for macropolyhedra with two closo-clusters (four-vertex-sharing B_nH_{n-4} macropolyhedra), whereas less pronounced sharing (least number of shared vertexes) is observed for more open *nido*- and *arachno*-clusters (onevertex-sharing B_nH_{n+8} and B_nH_{n+10} macropolyhedra). In other words, the number of shared vertexes gradually decreases from four when two closo-clusters are fused to one in more open arachno-[1]-nido-clusters. The systematic relationships derived here for macropolyhedral boranes of course also hold true for corresponding main-group heteroboranes.

Number of skeletal electrons and the structure of macropolyhedral boranes: Let us consider the number of skeletal electron pairs, $n(SEP)$, for various classes of macropolyhedral boranes listed in Scheme 2. In horizontal rows, the general formula for macropolyhedral boranes remains the same, while the number of shared vertexes increases. In the case of macropolyhedral boranes, the increase in the number of

Scheme 2. Classes of macropolyhedra according to general formulae, and possible types of structures according to incorporated cluster fragment types and fusion mode (i.e., sharing of one to four vertexes). Entries in grey boxes represent the cases for which experimentally known macropolyhedral boranes or borates exist. For nomenclature, see text. SEP=skeletal electron pairs. For two-vertex-sharing B_nH_{n+4} , only nido-[2]-nidomacropolyhedra are experimentally known.

shared vertexes for a given formula means the transformation of an exo-substituted BH unit (that contributes one SEP) into a bare boron atom (that contributes 1.5 SEP) and an endo-hydrogen atom (that contributes 0.5 SEP). In summary, per shared vertex the number of skeletal electron pairs increases by one.

As an example, a B_nH_n simple polyhedron has *n* skeletal electron pairs when each vertex is occupied by a BH unit contributing one SEP each. A closo compound, however, needs one SEP more $(n+1)$. A hypothetical one-vertexsharing B_nH_n macropolyhedral borane has $(n+1)$ SEP, but for two cluster fragments at least $(n+2)$ SEP are needed (i.e., in the case of two closo cluster fragments). At least two vertexes need to be shared (between two closo cluster fragments) to generate sufficient skeletal electrons, that is, a closo-[2]-closo macropolyhedron is the first viable structure for B_nH_n . More intimate fusion (e.g., three-vertex-sharing) increases $n(SEP)$ further, which is accommodated by the opening of cluster fragments (e.g., clos_0 -[3]-nido-B_nH_n with $n(SEP) = n+3$). Thus, along the horizontal rows, each additional shared vertex results in an increase in $n(SEP)$ by one that results in the opening of one cluster fragment and the accommodation one more hydrogen atom on the open face.

For geometrical reasons, four-vertex sharing is the most intimate fusion possible for a stable macropolyhedral borane. When two closo-clusters are combined in such a

Macropolyhedral Boranes **Macropolyhedral Boranes FULL PAPER**

way, the required $n(SEP)$ is $n+2$, which is realized for a formula of B_nH_{n-4} . [33]

Along the columns in Scheme 2, the number of shared vertexes remains the same, however, each pair of additional hydrogen atoms increases the $n(SEP)$ by one. This additional electron pair makes one cluster fragment more open (i.e., closo to nido or nido to arachno). Alternatively, the $n(SEP)$, and as a consequence also the type of fused fragments, remains unchanged when the number of shared vertexes is reduced by one (diagonal step "down to the left" in Scheme 2). The fact that macropolyhedra may structurally react to an increase in skeletal electron pairs by either opening a subcluster or by reducing the intimacy of cluster fusion has already been recognized.^[12b,c,34]

The polyhedral skeletal-electron-pair approach^[7b] may be applied to calculate the number of skeletal electron pairs, $a+b-x$, for a given macropolyhedron. Here, a and b represent the number of skeletal electron pairs the two fragments would require individually, and x equals the number of shared vertexes. In the formal condensation reaction of two simple polyhedral boranes, as many $BH₃$ units are eliminated as shared vertexes are formed. Each BH₃ takes away two SEPs (":BH,·H,·H") and each shared vertex generates one additional SEP (: $BH \rightarrow B$:, $\cdot H$). Taken together, a macropolyhedral structure has the $n(SEP)$ of its fragments diminished by the number of shared vertexes (also see Figure 2). Hence, the entries in Scheme 2 represent structures that realize a viable number of skeletal electrons for a given formula.

Figure 2. Comparison of *abx* and *mno* approaches to obtain the number of skeletal electrons for various homonuclear macropolyhedral boranes. Notations m , n , and p stand for the number of cluster fragments, the total number of vertexes, and the number of missing vertexes, respectively, whereas a and b represent the number of skeletal electrons of the individual cluster fragments, and c is the number of shared vertexes (for nomenclature, see text).

Number of skeletal electrons for higher condensed macropolyhedral borane systems: Scheme 2 relates the number of skeletal electrons to the structure for a given general formula of a macropolyhedral borane with two fused clusters. The number of skeletal electrons for various viable macropolyhedral boranes with more than two fused clusters can also be easily conceived on the basis of the *abx* rule (see above). All structures that can be obtained by the formal condensation of simple clusters under elimination of x BH₃ moieties, in which x is the number of shared vertexes in the macropolyhedral cluster, are viable macropolyhedral boranes obeying the abx rule. Thus, a macropolyhedral borane consisting of three fused fragments will have a skeletal electron count of $a+b+c-x$, or in general $\sum s_i-x$ in which $\sum s_i$ equals the number of skeletal electrons of individual clusters and x is the total number of shared vertexes.^[35]

Potential structures for various classes of macropolyhedral **boranes:** With the exception of B_nH_{n+10} , the general formulae listed in Scheme 2 have at least one experimentally known homonuclear macropolyhedral borane or borate representative (highlighted with gray boxes, compare also Scheme 1). Various p-block heteroatom-containing macropolyhedral boranes are also known.^[36] For a given general formula, all experimentally known structures belong to only one structure type. We suppose that the structure types for which experimentally known examples exist should be considerably more stable thermodynamically than the classes for which no structures are known experimentally, as in the case of B_nH_{n+4} (Figure 1).^[37] In the case of B_nH_{n-2} , two closo-clusters sharing three vertexes should be a better choice than one closo- sharing four vertexes with a nidocluster. The only experimental example, $[B_{21}H_{18}]^-$, synthesized recently^[18] but predicted as a possible synthetic target for more than 40 years,^[38] consists of two 12-vertex closoclusters with three shared vertexes (Figure 2E). The alternative is a 12-vertex closo-cluster sharing four vertexes with a 13-vertex nido-cluster, which is thermodynamically 94.7 kcal $mol⁻¹$ less stable than the former. A systematic study of all classes of experimentally known macropolyhedral boranes from B_nH_{n-4} to B_nH_{n+8} results in the selection of seven macropolyhedral borane categories listed in Table 1. We find that the structures, the type of fused clusters or cluster fragments (i.e., closo-, nido-, or arachno-), and the number of shared vertexes (i.e., one, two, three, or four) for various macropolyhedral boranes are directly related to the required number of skeletal electron pairs. This systematic relationship has not been suggested until now.

For example, all experimentally known B_nH_{n+4} macropolyhedral boranes^[24] possess two shared vertexes and thus have $n(SEP) = n+4$: *n* skeletal electron pairs would result from n BH groups, supplemented by four skeletal electrons due to four extra hydrogen atoms, but two shared boron atoms increase the number of SEP further by two. This implies that these structures have two *nido-clusters*.^[39] Attempts to construct a B_nH_{n+4} macropolyhedral borane, for example, $B_{18}H_{22}$ (Figure 1), with one or three shared vertex-

Table 1. For each general formula, (i.e., class) of macropolyhedral boranes, a typical number of shared vertexes can be given and the corresponding number of skeletal electrons, the type of cluster fragments, as well as cluster-fragment sizes can be derived (for nomenclature, see text).

Formula	$x^{[a]}$	$n(SEP)^{[b]}$	Structure	F_{c}	Proposed general formula	Experimental examples
B_nH_{n-4}		$n+2$	c loso-[4]- c loso	$closo-12[d]$	$closo(n-8)$ -[4]- $closo(12)$	$closo(12)$ -[4]- $closo(12)$ - $B_{20}H_{16}$
B_nH_{n-2}		$n+2$	c loso-[3]- c loso	$closo-12[d]$	$closo(n-9)$ -[3]- $closo(12)$	$\frac{closo(12)-[3]-closo(12)-B_{21}H_{18}-[e]}{2}$
B_nH_n		$n+3$	$closo$ -[3]-nido	$closo-12[d]$	$nido(n-9)$ -[3]- $closo(12)$	nido(11)-[3]-closo(12)-B ₂₀ H ₁₆ L ₂
B_nH_{n+2}		$n+3$	$closo$ -[2]-nido	$closo-12[d]$	$nido(n-10)$ -[2]- $closo(12)$	nido(12)-[2]-closo(12)-[B ₂₂ H ₂₂] ²⁻
B_nH_{n+4}		$n+4$	$nido$ -[2]- $nido$	$nido-10^{[d,f]}$	$nido(n-8)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$	$nido(10)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$ - $B_{18}H_{22}$
B_nH_{n+6}		$n+5$	$arachno-[2]$ -nido	$nido-10[f]$	$arachno(n-8)$ -[2]-nido(10)	arachno(10)-[2]-nido(10)-[SB ₁₇ H ₂₀] ^{-[g]}
B_nH_{n+8}		$n+5$	$arachno-[1]-nido$	nido-7	$arachno(n-6)$ -[1]- $nido(7)$	arachno(8)-[1]-nido(7)- $B_{14}H_{22}$

[a] Number of shared vertexes. [b] $n(SEP)$ = number of skeletal electron pairs. [c] F = preferred fragment. [d] Preferred fragment as concluded from the occurrence in experimentally known structures. [e] See ref. [18]. [f] Preferred fragment based on known representatives and on a systematic computational study, see ref. [25]. [g] See ref. [36d].

es do not result in isomers with $n+4$ skeletal electron pairs. The $closo(10)$ -[1]-nido(9)-B₁₈H₂₂ (Figure 1B) and arach $no(10)$ -[3]-nido(11)-B₁₈H₂₂ (Figure 1C) have a total of $n+3$ (21) and $n+5$ (23) skeletal electron pairs, respectively, instead of $n+4$ (22).

Two less hydrogen atoms as in B_nH_{n+2} macropolyhedral boranes result in one skeletal electron pair less and one nido-fragment is oxidized to a *closo*-fragment to give B_nH_{n+2} macropolyhedral boranes with a closo-cluster sharing two vertexes with a *nido*-cluster. Like for B_nH_{n+4} macropolyhedral boranes, B_nH_{n+2} macropolyhedral boranes with a different number of shared vertexes will result in an undesired structure that has a different total number of skeletal electrons. Experimentally known^[32] $[B_{22}H_{22}]^{2-}$ and its derivatives^[40] correspond to this class of macropolyhedral boranes.

Macropolyhedral boranes of general formula B_nH_n contain $n+3$ skeletal electron pairs and the structures consist of a closo and a nido cluster sharing three vertexes. The $B_{20}H_{18}^2$ ²⁻ dianion^[18] and a series of $B_{20}H_{18}L_2$ compounds^[19] in which a 12-vertex *closo*- and an 11-vertex *nido*-cluster share three vertexes are experimentally known.

Similarly, the correct macropolyhedral structure can also be predicted for other formulae. Each of the B_nH_{n-2} and B_nH_{n-4} macropolyhedral boranes has $n+2$ skeletal electron pairs. The B_nH_{n-2} macropolyhedral boranes (or the monoanionic $[B_nH_{n-3}]^-$, for example, $[B_{21}H_{18}]^-$ anion^[18]) involve two closo-clusters sharing three vertexes with each other. The B_nH_{n-4} macropolyhedral boranes (e.g., $B_{20}H_{16}^{[20]}$) share four vertexes between two closo-clusters. Any attempt to consider a B_nH_{n-4} or B_nH_{n-2} structure with a different number of shared vertexes or different type of fused clusters will result in discredited structures that do not fulfil the requirement of $n+2$ skeletal electron pairs.

 B_nH_{n+6} macropolyhedral boranes have two shared vertexes and one additional skeletal electron pair $(n+5$ SEP), compared to the B_nH_{n+4} macropolyhedra. Opening of one cluster fragment from nido to arachno is the consequence. The predicted structures are *arachno*-[2]-nido-B_nH_{n+6}. Three more possible B_nH_{n+6} structure types (see Scheme 2) have different numbers of skeletal electron pairs and hence, different structures.

Two additional hydrogen atoms in B_nH_{n+8} structures result in decrease in the number of shared vertexes leaving the number of skeletal electrons unchanged, that is, n $(SEP) = n + 5$. Such structures share one vertex between two highly open *nido-* and *arachno-clusters*. Experimentally known structures correspond to $B_{14}H_{22}$ and $B_{15}H_{23}$.^[15]

Preferred fragments for macropolyhedral boranes: Computations of a large number of *nido*-[2]-*nido*-B_nH_{n+4} macropolyhedral borane isomers revealed that the thermodynamically most stable 13- to 19-vertex-containing macropolyhedra contain at least one 10-vertex *nido*-cluster fragment.^[25] The size of the second *nido*-cluster fragment increases from five vertexes to eleven vertexes. Moreover, the structures of the experimentally known nido-[2]-nido-macropolyhedra usually represent the thermodynamically most stable framework, that is, contain a 10-vertex nido-fragment. Similarly, arachno-[2]-arachno- B_nH_{n+8} structures contain at least one ninevertex arachno-cluster fragment, whereas arachno-[2]-nido- B_nH_{n+6} clusters usually contain a ten-vertex *nido*-cluster fragment (or a nine-vertex arachno-cluster fragment in the case of $arachno(9)$ -[2]-nido(11)-B₁₈H₂₄). We note:

- 1) The thermodynamically most stable isomers of a given class of macropolyhedral boranes contain a unique preferred fragment.
- 2) These fragments are usually present in the experimentally known structures.

These two observations can be used to propose the structures of the thermodynamically most stable isomers of a given class of macropolyhedra. For example, all experimentally known macropolyhedral structures involving at least one closo-cluster have a 12-vertex closo-cluster. The 12 vertex closo-cluster alone is a highly symmetric and very stable structure with five-coordinate vertexes only. Its extraordinary stability^[41] is also evident from its highest aromaticity and lowest energy-per-vertex values among closo-clusters.[42] Its presence in the experimentally known macropolyhedral structures recommends the 12-vertex closo-cluster as the preferred fragment for the thermodynamically most stable macropolyhedral boranes incorporating a closo-fragment. To confirm the high stability of macropolyhedral boranes containing at least one 12-vertex closo-cluster relative to other possible structures, we computed isomers of various classes of macropolyhedral boranes ranging from B_nH_{n-4} to $[B_nH_n]²⁻$ (see Tables S1 to S4 in Supporting Information).

Macropolyhedral Boranes **Macropolyhedral Boranes FULL PAPER**

All macropolyhedral boranes that involve one 12-vertex closo-cluster are far more stable than corresponding isomers with other *closo*-clusters. This fact can be used to easily predict the general structures of the thermodynamically most stable macropolyhedral boranes involving at least one closocluster, that is, macropolyhedral boranes ranging from B_nH_{n-4} to B_nH_{n+2} .

The extent of preference for a specific size decreases as the fragment opens. There is a greater preference for the nido-10 (compared to other nido-cluster fragments) than for the arachno-9 (compared to other arachno-clusters).^[25] The $nido(10)$ -[2]- $nido(10)$ -B₁₈H₂₂ is 42.5 kcalmol⁻¹ more stable than the second most stable *nido*-[2]-*nido*-B₁₈H₂₂ isomer, but the arachno(9)-[2]-arachno(9)-B₁₆H₂₄ is only 7.5 kcalmol⁻¹ more stable than the second most stable isomer.^[25] Similarly, the closo-12 (compared to other closo-clusters) is preferred more than the nido-10 fragment (relative to other nido-fragments). The $closo(12)$ -[4]- $closo(12)$ -B₂₀H₁₆ is 110.5 kcalmol⁻¹ more stable than the $closo(11)$ -[4]- $closo(13)$ -B₂₀H₁₆! As a consequence, in mixed closo-[3]-nido- or closo-[2]-nido-macropolyhedral boranes, always the closo-fragment determines the vertex distribution. Similarly, in the case of nido-[2] nido- or arachno-[2]-nido-macropolyhedral boranes, almost always the nido-fragment determines the vertex distribution.

Two experimentally known one-vertex-sharing arachno- [1]-nido-B_nH_{n+8} structures, that is, *arachno*-[1]-nido-B₁₄H₂₂ and $B_{15}H_{23}$, each with one seven-vertex *nido*-fragment, are experimentally known.[15] Therefore, we suppose that the seven-vertex nido-cluster fragment might be the preferred fragment for the *arachno*-[1]-nido-B_nH_{n+8} macropolyhedra, although this preference is probably weak.

Preferred frameworks for different classes of macropolyhe-

dral boranes: The idea of a given preferred fragment for each class of macropolyhedral boranes conveniently allows us to propose the complete framework for the thermodynamically most stable isomers of various macropolyhedral boranes. For example, for nido-[2]-nido-macropolyhedral boranes, the nido-10-vertex cluster is the preferred fragment and the thermodynamically most stable nido-[2]-nido-macropolyhedral isomers have the general formula $nido(n-8)$ -[2]-nido(10)-B_nH_{n+4}. A similar general formula for the thermodynamically most stable *arachno*-[2]-nido-B_nH_{n+6} isomers was proposed to be *arachno*(n–8)-[2]-nido(10)-B_nH_{n+6}.^[25b,c] As the 12-vertex closo-cluster is the preferred fragment for the thermodynamically most stable isomers of macropolyhedral boranes containing at least one closo-cluster, the cluster composition of various classes of macropolyhedral boranes ranging from B_nH_{n-4} to B_nH_{n+2} can be proposed (see Table S1). Similarly, the fragment sizes for the most stable one-vertex-sharing arachno-[1]-nido- B_nH_{n+8} structures are proposed to be *arachno* $(n-6)$ and *nido*(7).

Structures of all experimentally known homonuclear macropolyhedral boranes or borates can be derived on the basis of a general scheme provided in this paper. We note, however, that macropolyhedral metallaheteroboranes frequently possess structures that do not correspond to the structures preferred by boranes (see Scheme 2).[35]

Conclusion

All macropolyhedral borane clusters are formally obtained by intimate fusion of simple polyhedra resulting in the elimination of one to four $BH₃$ (or isoelectronic) units. The number of eliminated vertexes from simple polyhedra equals the number of shared vertexes in macropolyhedral boranes. The number of skeletal electrons of macropolyhedral boranes is simply $a+b-x$, in which a and b correspond to the number of skeletal electrons of individual cluster fragments, and x is the number of shared vertexes. All experimentally known (and some experimentally unknown) macropolyhedral boranes or borates can be divided into seven classes. For each class, the general formula is directly associated with the preferred number of shared vertexes. This allows the number of skeletal electron pairs and the types of fused clusters to be derived. The existence of a preferred fragment size for each cluster-fragment type allows us to predict the complete framework of the thermodynamically most stable macropolyhedral borane isomers.

Acknowledgement

Financial support by DFG is gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] R. W. Rudolph, [Acc. Chem. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar50108a004) 1976, 9, 446-452.
- [2] G. N. Lewis, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja02261a002) 1916, 38, 762-785.
- [3] N. V. Sidewick, Trans. Faraday Soc. 1923, 19, 469.
- [4] a) E. Hückel, Z. Phys. 1932, 76, 628-648; b) E. Hückel, Z. Phys. 1933, 83, 632 – 668.
- [5] A. Hirsch, Z. Chen, H. Jiao, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1521-3757(20010803)113:15%3C2916::AID-ANGE2916%3E3.0.CO;2-7) 2001, 113, 2916-2920; [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1521-3773(20010803)40:15%3C2834::AID-ANIE2834%3E3.0.CO;2-H) 2001, 40, 2834-2838.
- [6] a) K. Wade, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1976, 18, 1 66; b) K. Wade in *Metal Interactions with Boron Clusters* (Ed.: R. N. Grimes), Plenum Press, New York, 1982, Chapter 1, pp. 1-41.
- [7] a) D. M. P. Mingos, Nature Phys. Sci. 1972, 236, 99-102; b) D. M. P. Mingos, [Acc. Chem. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar00105a003) 1984, 17, 311 – 319.
- [8] a) R. E. Williams, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01093a047) 1965, 87, 3513 3515; b) R. E. Williams in Progress in Boron Chemistry, Vol. 2 (Eds.: R. J. Brotherton, H. Steinberg), Pergamon Press, England, 1970, Chapter 2, p. 57; c) R. E. Williams, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr00010a001) 1992, 92, 177 – 207 and references therein.
- [9] a) E. D. Jemmis, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00389a021) 1982, 104, 7017 7020; b) E. D. Jemmis, P. v. R. Schleyer, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00382a008) 1982, 104, 4781 – 4788.
- [10] J. J. Ott, B. M. Gimarc, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00275a011) 1986, 108, 4303-4308.
- [11] a) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, *[Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic049184z)* **2004**, 43, 8561-8571; b) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic0483803) 2005, 44, 3746 – 3754; c) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 12, 2545 – 2553; d) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, [J. Mol. Model.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00894-005-0037-3) 2006, 12, 597 – [609](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00894-005-0037-3); e) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, [Organometallics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om050814u) 2006, 25, 485 – [490](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om050814u); f) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, [Dalton Trans.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b512700a) 2006, 686-692.
- [12] a) N. N. Greenwood, [Chem. Soc. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/cs9841300353) 1984, 13, 353 374; b) J. D. Kennedy in Advances in Boron Chemistry (Ed.: W. Siebert), Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 451-462; c) J. Bould, D. L. Ormsby, H.-J. Yao, C.-H. Hu, J. Sun, R.-S. Jin, S. L. Shea, W. Clegg, T. Jelinek, N. P. Rath, M. Thornton-Pett, R. Greatrex, P.-J. Zheng, L. Barton, B. Štíbr, J.D. Kennedy in Contemporary Boron

Chemistry (Eds.: M. Davidson, A. K. Hughes, T. B. Marder, K. Wade), Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 2000, pp. 171-174; d) for a recent review, see: E. D. Jemmis, M. M. Balakrishnarajan, P. D. Pancharatna, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr990356x) 2002, 102, 93 – 144.

- [13] See, for example, a) G. N. Srinivas, T. P. Hamilton, E. D. Jemmis, M. L. McKee, K. Lammertsma, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja992302a) 2000, 122, 1725 – [1728](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja992302a); b) M. F. Hawthorne, R. L. Pilling, P. F. Stokely, P. M. Garrett, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3704 – 3705; c) M. F. Hawthorne, R. L. Pilling, P. F. Stokely, *[J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01087a011)* **1965**, 87, 1893-1899; d) R. A. Watson-Clark, C. B. Knobler, M. F. Hawthorne, [Inorg.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic951484g) [Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic951484g) 1996, 35[, 2963 – 2966](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic951484g).
- [14] See, for example, M. F. Hawthorne, R. L. Pilling, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00968a044) 1966, 88[, 3873 – 3874](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00968a044).
- [15] J. Rathke, R. Schaeffer, *[Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50142a046)* **1974**, 13, 3008-3011.
- [16] Two isomers with C_i and C_2 symmetry, each incorporating two tenvertex nido-cluster fragments with a two-vertex sharing pattern, are experimentally known. See a) P. G. Simpson, W. N. Lipscomb, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1734029) [Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1734029) 1963, 39, 26 – 34; b) A. R. Pitochelli, M. F. Hawthorne, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00875a058) 1962, 84, 3218 – 3218; c) P. G. Simpson, W. N. Lipscomb, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.48.9.1490) 1962, 48, 1490 – 1491; d) P. G. Simpson, K. Folting, R. D. Dobrott, W. N. Lipscomb, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1701439) 1963, 39[, 2339 – 2348](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1701439); e) X. L. R. Fontaine, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, P. Mackinnon, [J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/dt9880001785) 1988, 1785-[1793](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/dt9880001785); f) P. G. Simpson, K. Folting, W. N. Lipscomb, [J. Am. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00895a046) Soc. 1963, 85, 1879-1880.
- [17] The C_i isomer (shown in Figure 1) is the most stable two-vertexsharing $B_{18}H_{22}$ isomer and is also 1.2 kcalmol⁻¹ more stable than the C_2 isomer. In Figure 1, the two-vertex-sharing C_i and two other possible isomers due to one- and three-vertex-sharing patterns are shown.
- [18] E. Bernhardt, D. J. Brauer, M. Finze, H. Willner, [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200604077) 2007, 119[, 2985 – 2988](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200604077); [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200604077) 2007, 46, 2927 – 2930.
- [19] See, for example, a) J. H. Enemark, L. B. Friedman, W. N. Lipscomb, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50046a019) 1966, 5, 2165 – 2173; b) Y. M. Cheek, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, W. S. McDonald, [J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c39820000080) [1982](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c39820000080)[, 80 – 81.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c39820000080)
- [20] a) L. B. Friedman, R. D. Dobrott, W. N. Lipscomb, [J. Am. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00904a048) Soc. 1963, 85, 3505-3506; b) N. E. Miller, E. L. Muetterties, [J. Am.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00904a049) [Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00904a049) 1963, 85[, 3506 – 3506.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00904a049)
- [21] J.D. Kennedy in Advances in Boron Chemistry (Ed.: W. Siebert), Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1997, p. 451.
- [22] R. N. Grimes, *Metal Interactions with Boron Clusters*, Plenum Press, New York, 1982.
- [23] T. D. McGrath, T. Jelinek, B. Štíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, [J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/a703132g) 1997, 2543 – 2545.
- [24] E. D. Jemmis, M. M. Balakrishnarajan, P. D. Pancharatna, [J. Am.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja003233z) [Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja003233z) 2001, 123[, 4313 – 4323.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja003233z)
- [25] a) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 45, 6996-7003; b) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, Dalton Trans. 2006, 5515 – 5520; c) F. A. Kiani, M. Hofmann, [Dalton Trans.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b700270j) 2007, 1207 – 1213.
- [26] All computations were carried out at RB3LYP/6-311+G(d,p)// RB3LYP/6-31G(d)+ZPE by using; Gaussian 03, Revision B.03, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- [27] a) C. T. Brewer, R. N. Grimes, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00321a053) 1984, 106, 2722-[2723](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00321a053); b) C. T. Brewer, R. G. Swisher, E. Sinn, R. N. Grimes, [J. Am.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00298a026) [Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00298a026) 1985, 107[, 3558 – 3564.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00298a026)
- [28] J. C. Huffman, D. C. Moody, R. Schaffer, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50155a047) 1976, 15, [227 – 232.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50155a047)
- [29] S. Heřmánek, K. Fetter, J. Plešek, L. J. Todd, A. R. Garber, Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 2250-2253.
- [30] a) J. Plešek, S. Heřmánek, F. Hanousek, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1967, 32, 699-705; b) L. B. Friedman, R. E. Cook, M. D. Glick, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja01026a064) 1968, 90, 6862 – 6863; c) L. B. Friedman, R. E. Cook, M. D. Glick, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50088a032) 1970, 9, 1452 – 1458.
- [31] The compound was reported as $B_{19}H_{20}$ anion in J. A. Dopke, D. R. Powell, D. F. Gaines, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic990896c) 2000, 39, 463 – 467. The formula was corrected to be $B_{19}H_{22}$, because application of the *nmo* rule indicates missing electrons: E. D. Jemmis, M. M. Balakrishnarajan, P. D. Pancharatna, *[Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic015504s)* **2001**, 40, 1730-1731. Computed NMR chemical shifts also rule out $B_{19}H_{20}^-$ and are in support of $B_{19}H_{22}$ ⁻ (see Supporting Information).
- [32] N. S. Hosmane, A. Franken, G. Zhang, R. R. Srivastava, R. Y. Smith, B. F. Spielvogel, Main Group Met. Chem. 1998, 21, 319-324.
- [33] More condensed species, that is, B_nH_m in which $m \ll n$, result from repeated condensation of polyhedral clusters. See, for example, E. D. Jemmis, E. G. Jayasree, [Acc. Chem. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar0300266) 2003, 36, 816 – 824.
- [34] See, for example, a) S. L. Shea, T. Jelínek, S. D. Perera, B. Stíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, J.D. Kennedy, *[Inorg. Chim. Acta](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2004.03.041)* 2004, 357, 3119- 3123 ; b) S. L. Shea, T. D. McGrath, T. Jelínek, B. Stíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, [Inorg. Chem. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1387-7003(98)00025-2) 1998, 1, 97; c) S. L. Shea, T. Jelínek, B. Štíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, [Inorg.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1387-7003(00)00041-1) [Chem. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1387-7003(00)00041-1) 2000, 3, 169.
- [35] Electronic requirements for macropolyhedral boranes depend upon the condensation mode (number of shared vertexes, x), between individual clusters, in which boron atoms occupy the shared vertexes. Macropolyhedral metallaboranes with shared metal atoms or fragments have a different condensation mode and can not be considered to be obtained by the removal of (BH_3) , units. Thus, they have different requirements of skeletal electron pairs. All macropolyhedral metallaboranes possess Σs_i+m-x skeletal electron pairs, in which m is the number of shared metal atoms or metal fragments.
- [36] See, for example: a) T. Jelínek, I. Cisarova, B. Štíbr, J. D. Kennedy, Dalton Trans. 2007, 42, 4766 – 4768; b) P. K. Dosangh, J. Bould, M. G. S. Londesborough, T. Jelínek, M. Thornton-Pett, B. Štíbr, J. D. Kennedy, [J. Organomet. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-328X(03)00467-4) 2003, 680, 312 – 322; c) M. Hofmann, S. K. Goll, [J. Organomet. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-328X(01)01491-7) 2002, 657, 273-278; d) T. Jelínek, C. A. Kilner, S. A. Barrett, M. Thornton-Pett, J. D. Kennedy, Chem. Commun. 1999, 1905-1906; e) T. Jelínek, I. Cisarova, B. Štíbr, J. D. Kennedy, M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1998, 2965 – 2968; f) T. Jelínek, J. D. Kennedy, B. Štíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1, 179 – 181; g) P. Kaur, J. Holub, N. P. Rath, J. Bould, L. Barton, B. Štíbr, J.D. Kennedy, [Chemical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/cc9960000273) [Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/cc9960000273) 1996, 273-275; h) T. Jelínek, J. D. Kennedy, B. Štíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 2407-2408; i) T. Jelínek, J. D. Kennedy, B. Štíbr, M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. **1995**, 1665-1666.
- [37] One should keep in mind that any reaction product may be dictated by kinetics rather than thermodynamics. In the case of simple polyhedral boranes, usually the thermodynamically most stable isomers are obtained because rearrangements are typically facile. For macropolyhedral boranes, this is still less clear. From the structures we computed we find a remarkable preference for one typical fusion type, which was also found experimentally.
- [38] a) J. H. Enemark, L. B. Friedman, W. N. Lipscomb, *[Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50046a019)* 1966, 5[, 2165 – 2173](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50046a019); b) J. H. Enemark, L. B. Friedman, J. A. Hart-suck, W. N. Lipscomb, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00967a043) 1966, 88, 3659-3661; c) W. N. Lipscomb, [J. Less-Common Met.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5088(81)90192-2) 1981, 82, 1 – 20.
- [39] Although a large number of nido:nido-macropolyhedral boranes have been reported, no example for the closo:arachno-alternative is experimentally known. This is probably because the difference in the excess skeletal electrons (one SEP for closo but three SEP for arachno) would be too large. $closo(12):aracho(8)$ and $closo(10):ar-$

Macropolyhedral Boranes **FULL PAPER**

 $achno(10)$ isomers are computed to be less stable than C_i symmetric $nido(10): nido(10)$ - $B_{18}H_{22}$ by 41.6 and 77.7 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively.

- [40] O. Volkov, N. P. Rath, L. Barton, [J. Organomet. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-328X(03)00350-4) 2003, 680, $212 - 217.$
- [41] a) L. D. Brown, W. N. Lipscomb, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic50178a001) 1977, 16, 2989; b) E. D. Jemmis, P. N. V. Pavankumar, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Chem.

Sci. 1984, 93, 479; c) I. Boustani, [J. Solid State Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1997.7424) 1997, 133, 182; d) R. B. King, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic0106165) 2001, 40, 6369 – 6374.

[42] P. v. R. Schleyer, K. Najafian, A. M. Mebel, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic980359c) 1998, 37, [6765 – 6772](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic980359c).

Received: August 30, 2007 Revised: December 5, 2007 Published online: January 29, 2008